

RE: GM/Henkel econ case study

Evison, Leah

Tue 6/3/2014 5:28 PM

To: Treat Suomi <tsuomi@skeo.com>; Bloom, Thomas <bloom.thomas@epa.gov>;

Cc: emarsh@skeo.com <emarsh@skeo.com>;

FYI (especially Tom B) –

You should be aware that unlike what we thought, General Mills has found that there may be unacceptable vapor risk in several of the commercial buildings on the site. They are in the process of installing or are going to be installing mitigation systems there too, in addition to the nearby residences. That's why the language I added uses the generic word "buildings", not "homes" or "residences".

Leah

From: Treat Suomi [mailto:tsuomi@skeo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2014 5:06 PM

To: Evison, Leah; Bloom, Thomas

Cc: emarsh@skeo.com

Subject: RE: GM/Henkel econ case study

Thanks Leah – we will let you know if we have any questions. If not, we will make the adjustments and forward it to EPA HQ for their final approval. We will let you know when it is posted to the web.

Sincerely,
Treat

From: Evison, Leah [mailto:evision.leah@epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2014 12:41 PM

To: Treat Suomi; Bloom, Thomas

Cc: emarsh@skeo.com

Subject: RE: GM/Henkel econ case study

Here are edits that I and the State request. I think the formatting will still work, we kept it as brief as possible.

Sorry for the delay! Let me know if you have any questions.

Leah

Leah Evison

U.S. EPA Region 5 Superfund Division

Remedial Project Manager

stationed at

520 Lafayette Road North
St. Paul, MN 55155
evison.leah@epa.gov
651-757-2898

From: Treat Suomi [<mailto:tsuomi@skeo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2014 5:51 PM
To: Evison, Leah; Bloom, Thomas
Cc: emarsh@skeo.com
Subject: GM/Henkel econ case study

Hi Leah and Tom -

Frank, from SRI at EPA HQ, wants to finalize the GM/ Henkel LEICS. Before we finalize it, we wanted to check back with you about the prior issues. We mentioned to Frank previously that during the time over which we were working on this case study, some issues in the region came up regarding vapor intrusion. We know that you received some VI data and determined that you needed to collect some additional data and do a bit more analysis. Since the last contract was reaching its end, everyone agreed to continue with the case study but that before finalizing and posting the case study we would check back to see if it needed to be held or adjusted based on the findings of your VI work.

Is there an update on the situation? Do you think it might be possible to finalize and post the attached case study? Are there any changes that you think might be needed based on the VI work you have done?

Please let me know if you have any questions or would like to have a phone call to discuss things.

Sincerely,
Treat

Treat Suomi
Senior Associate
Skeo Solutions
719.256.4674
719.480.0487 (Cell)
www.skeo.com
tsuomi@skeo.com

Treat Suomi
Senior Associate
Skeo Solutions
719.256.4674
719.480.0487 (Cell)
www.skeo.com
tsuomi@skeo.com